

15 JUN 2023

## Fitch Affirms MVM at 'BBB'; Outlook Negative

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 15 Jun 2023: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Hungary's largest electricity and gas utility MVM Zrt.'s Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDR) at 'BBB' with a Negative Outlook. A full list of rating actions is below.

MVM's Long-Term IDRs are at the company's Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) of 'bbb'. Their Negative Outlook currently reflects the same on Hungary's sovereign 'BBB'/Negative rating, which was revised from Stable in January 2023.

Hungary's rating serves as a cap on MVM's ratings, driven by strong linkage between MVM and the state as per our Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria. This is the reason for not revising the Outlook to Stable despite MVM's 2022 results and leverage being considerably better than we had anticipated when we changed its Outlook to Negative from Stable in November 2022.

### Key Rating Drivers

**2022 Results Better Than Expected:** MVM's financial performance in 2022 was better than our expectations, with funds from operations (FFO) net leverage at 2.5x versus our 7.5x forecast. This was because of stronger EBITDA and FFO largely due to higher margins in the wholesale and gas storage segment and full compensations received for losses on sale of electricity and gas to eligible customers.

In our updated projections, FFO net leverage will remain below our negative rating sensitivity of 3.5x in 2023-2024. Following the acceleration of capex, including two CCGT projects, FFO net leverage will peak at about 3.5x in 2025-2026 and then follow a declining trend when the projects start operating and contributing to EBITDA.

**Large Net Debt Increase:** MVM's net debt is subject to seasonal swings and is typically at a high point at end-December when gas inventories are close to their peak ahead of strong winter demand. At end-2022 this was exacerbated by the high cost of gas purchased for storage during 2022. As a result, MVM's debt rose to HUF1.5 trillion at end-2022 from HUF644 billion at end-2021. We estimate that at end-2022 most of MVM's debt was related to gas inventories.

**More Exposed to Working-Capital Swings:** MVM is more exposed to seasonality in working-capital needs than most regional peers, given its large gas inventories due to domestic legislative requirements and its crucial role in the security of gas supply in Hungary.

**Retail Compensation:** Fitch expects compensations from the utility protection fund to support MVM's profitability in the retail segment in 2023-2024. The fund, created by the government and available to MVM since September 2022, has compensated the company for the sale of gas and electricity to

eligible customers, mostly households, under a universal service provision, at regulated prices, which in 2022 were substantially below market prices. The scheme is currently in place indefinitely but the selection of MVM by the state as a universal service provider at capped prices is valid until end-2024.

**High Balancing Costs in 2022:** MVM's infrastructure segment suffered from sharply increased balancing costs in 2022, which were covered at a considerably lower level by its tariff for the same year. Based on the existing regulatory framework the costs would be recovered through tariff increases with a two-year lag, but the regulator has reflected about a third of extra balancing costs in the tariff for 2022, instead of 2024, and factored in the high costs for 2022 in the 2023 tariff determination.

**Gas Supplies from Russia:** MVM has significant exposure to Russian gas imports as the off-taker in its long-term import contract with Gazprom. Russian gas continues to flow to Hungary through interconnectors with Austria and Serbia. Lower supplies through Austria in 2022 were compensated via the Serbian route.

**Financial Policy Consistent with Rating:** MVM's management targets net-debt-to-EBITDA of up to 2.5x in its current business plan until 2027, which is consistent with the 'BBB' rating. The plan was approved by the state as the sole shareholder. We assume the state will continue to provide extraordinary support to MVM given the latter's strategic role in maintaining energy security.

**Moderately Strong State Links:** Fitch applies its Government-Related Entities (GRE) Rating Criteria in its analysis of MVM's linkage with the Hungarian state. We assess status, ownership and control as well as support track record as 'Strong'. MVM has historically benefited from substantial tangible support from the state, most recently through large equity injections in the last three years, mainly related to acquisitions and investments.

**Moderate Incentive to Support:** We assess the socio-political implications of a default by MVM as 'Moderate' as we assume that most of its operations would likely continue following a default. We have changed the financial implications of a default to 'Moderate' from 'Weak' as we believe that non-payment of debt would have a moderate impact on funding access for the government and other GREs. This assessment results in a support score of 20 points, indicating moderately strong links with the state.

**Strong PSL Linkage:** We view the parent-and-subsidary linkage between the state and MVM as strong under our PSL Criteria, with the subsidiary being stronger than the parent. Therefore, MVM's rating would be constrained by the sovereign IDR if the latter is downgraded. This is reflected in the Negative Outlook for MVM, mirroring that on the sovereign rating. Our overall assessment of legal ring-fencing and access and control factors is 'open', due to full state-ownership leading to effective control and open ring-fencing.

**Leading Market Position:** MVM's business profile benefits from its strong market position in Hungary, spanning electricity generation (57% market share), gas imports (off-taker in the main gas import contract), gas storage (70%), electricity transmission (100%), and electricity and gas distribution (23% and 34%, respectively). It is also the main supplier of wholesale and retail electricity and gas in Hungary.

## Derivation Summary

MVM's central European peers are PGE Polska Grupa Energetyczna S.A. (PGE, BBB+/Stable), ENEA S.A. (BBB/Stable), TAURON Polska Energia S.A. (Tauron, BBB-/Stable) and Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD (BEH, BB+/Stable, SCP: bb).

MVM has better integration and business diversification than PGE, ENEA and Tauron, which are focused on a specific line of business, such as electricity generation or distribution, and also have higher exposure to coal.

BEH is more comparable to MVM in business diversification, as its activities include generation, transmission and supply of electricity, as well as transmission, transit and supply of gas, on top of lignite mining. However, BEH is a negative outlier in the peer group in cash flow predictability and corporate governance.

MVM's balance sheet and working capital are highly exposed to changes in gas inventories due to seasonality and changes in gas prices. This results in a higher volatility of MVM's leverage than peers'. Also, MVM's net debt and leverage at year-end is higher than during the course of the year when gas inventories are lower.

MVM's peers are all state-controlled (either by the Polish or Bulgarian governments). The Polish peers are rated at a standalone level without any uplift for state links, while the 'BB+' rating of BEH includes a one-notch uplift for links with the Bulgarian state.

## Key Assumptions

Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Generation EBITDA growth driven by stable contribution from nuclear power plants and renewables. High EBITDA from coal-fired assets in 2023 following CO2 prices being hedged at low levels in 2023 and high market prices
- Strong EBITDA in infrastructure in the medium term due to high balancing costs accrued in 2022 being recognised in tariffs in 2023 and 2024 (one third of extra costs already reflected in 2022 tariff)
- High EBITDA in wholesale gas and electricity in 2023 due to higher electricity margins and better performance of gas storage, followed by more normalised EBITDA from 2024
- Total capex averaging about HUF800 billion per year in 2023-2027 with development capex focusing on decarbonisation (replacing coal-fired assets with gas-fired assets), as well as digitalisation and infrastructure development
- Capex partly funded by domestic and EU grants, averaging HUF115 billion per year in 2023-2027
- Dividend payments of HUF108 billion in 2023 followed by lower amounts in 2024-2027
- No equity injections by the state over 2023-2027

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

### **Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade:**

- An upgrade of MVM is unlikely due to the Negative Outlook on the sovereign rating, which serves as a cap on MVM's ratings
- We would revise the Outlook to Stable if Hungary's Outlook is revised to Stable, provided leverage and interest coverage remain within our negative sensitivities

### **Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Downgrade:**

- FFO net leverage above 3.5x and FFO interest cover below 6x on a sustained basis
- Substantial adverse change in the business profile, such as a material reduction in the share of regulated or quasi-regulated business in total EBITDA
- Negative rating action on Hungary would lead to similar rating action on MVM due to the sovereign cap

### **Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario**

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

### **Liquidity and Debt Structure**

**Solid Liquidity:** MVM has a solid liquidity position. At end-2022, it had unrestricted cash of HUF407.5 billion and undrawn committed facilities of HUF298 billion, of which HUF195 billion will expire within 12 months. In the year to date MVM has committed new loans totaling HUF644 billion. This liquidity is sufficient to cover debt maturities of HUF145 billion in 2023, and Fitch-projected negative free cash flow in 2023, driven by large negative changes in working capital and capex.

### **REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

### **Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings**

MVM ratings are credit-linked to the ratings of Hungary.

## ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg)

## Fitch Ratings Analysts

### Renata Dobrzynska, PhD

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+48 22 103 3035

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce Krolewska 16, 00-103 Warsaw

### Nataly Di Salvo

Analyst

Secondary Rating Analyst

+34 93 492 9519

### Arkadiusz Wicik, CFA

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+48 22 103 3016

## Media Contacts





### Isobel Burke

London

+44 20 3530 1499

[isobel.burke@thefitchgroup.com](mailto:isobel.burke@thefitchgroup.com)

## Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR	
MVM Zrt.	LT IDR	BBB 	Affirmed	BBB 
	LC LT IDR	BBB 	Affirmed	BBB 
• senior	LT	BBB	Affirmed	BBB

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
	unsecured		

## RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	+	◇
NEGATIVE	-	◇
EVOLVING	◊	◆
STABLE	○	

### Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.28 Oct 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.09 Apr 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria \(pub.30 Sep 2020\)](#)

[Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria \(pub.01 Dec 2021\)](#)

[Sector Navigators: Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.12 May 2023\)](#)

### Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 [\(1\)](#)

### Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

### Endorsement Status

## DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts

of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided “as is” without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings



issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

## **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.